

Points to Consider in the Manufacture and Testing of Monoclonal Antibody Products for Human Use

**U. S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research
February 28, 1997**

Date: February 27, 1997

From: Kathryn C. Zoon , Ph.D., Director
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration

Subject: Points to Consider in the Manufacture and Testing of Monoclonal Antibody Products for Human Use

To: Manufacturers of Biological Products and Other Interested Persons

This Points to Consider (PTC) document has been developed for manufacturers of monoclonal antibody products for human use. These "Points" are not regulations nor are they guidelines, but represent the current thinking that the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) staff believe should be considered at this time. This 1997 PTC document supersedes the 1994 PTC document of the same title, announced in the Federal Register of August 3, 1994 (59 FR 39571).

It is our intention to continuously update and revise this document in order to improve its usefulness. We invite your review and comment on the "Points". Comments should be identified with the docket number 94D-0259. Two copies of any comments should be submitted except that individuals may submit one copy. All comments should be addressed to:

Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305)
Food and Drug Administration
12420 Parklawn Drive, Room 1-23
Rockville, MD 20857

_____-s-_____
Kathryn C. Zoon, Ph.D.

Points to Consider in the Manufacture and Testing of Monoclonal Antibody Products for Human Use

[Docket No. 94D-0259]

For further information regarding this document, contact:

Sharon Carayiannis
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (HFM-630)
Food and Drug Administration
1401 Rockville Pike, Suite 200N
Rockville, MD 20852-1448
301-594-3074

Submit written comments on this document to:

Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305)
Food and Drug Administration
12420 Parklawn Drive, Room 1-23
Rockville, MD 20857

Comments should be identified with the docket number found in the heading of this page.

Submit written requests for additional copies of this document or any other CBER guidance to:

Office of Communication, Training, and Manufacturers Assistance (HFM-40)
Food and Drug Administration
1401 Rockville Pike, Suite 200N
Rockville, MD 20852-1448

Send one self-addressed adhesive label to assist that office in processing your request.

These documents may also be obtained by mail by calling the CBER Voice Information System at 1-800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800, or by fax by calling the FAX Information System at 1-888-CBER-FAX or 301-827-3844.

Persons with access to the INTERNET may obtain these documents using, the World Wide Web (WWW), or bounce-back e-mail. For WWW access, connect to CBER at "<http://www.fda.gov/cber/cberftp.html>". To receive this document by bounce-back e-mail, send a message to "ptc__mab@A1.CBER.FDA.GOV".

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Note: Page numbering may vary for documents distributed electronically.

I. INTRODUCTION.....	6
A. BACKGROUND.....	6
B. DEFINITIONS.....	6
C. FILING INFORMATION.....	7
II. PRODUCT MANUFACTURE AND TESTING.....	8
A. GENERAL PRINCIPLES AND DEFINITIONS.....	8
B. MANUFACTURE AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES	8
1. Cell lines.....	8
2. Production in cell culture.....	9
3. Production in animals or plants	10
4. Purification	10
5. Characterization of purified unmodified mAb.....	12
6. Anti-idiotypic vaccines.....	13
7. Monoclonal antibodies conjugated with toxins, drugs, radionuclides or other agents (immunoconjugates).....	13
C. QUALITY CONTROL AND PRODUCT TESTING.....	15
1. Cell line qualification.....	15
2. Lot-to-lot quality control monitoring of unprocessed bulk lots and purified bulk lots, and final product specifications.....	19
3. Stability of product.....	23
4. General considerations on quantitation and removal of a retrovirus contaminant.....	23
5. General considerations on the design and interpretation of virus clearance studies	24
6. Generic or modular virus clearance studies.....	24
7. Product testing requirements for mAb used as ancillary products.....	25
D. PRODUCT SAFETY TESTING FOR FEASIBILITY CLINICAL TRIALS IN SERIOUS OR IMMEDIATELY LIFE-THREATENING CONDITIONS	26
1. General considerations	26
2. Product safety data needed before the initiation of feasibility trials in serious or immediately life-threatening conditions.....	27
E. ISSUES RELATED TO MANUFACTURING CHANGES (DEMONSTRATION OF PRODUCT COMPARABILITY).....	28
1. General.....	28
2. In vitro evidence of product comparability	28
3. Animal studies	29
4. Clinical studies to support manufacturing changes.....	29
III. PRECLINICAL STUDIES	29
A. TESTING CROSS-REACTIVITY OF MAB.....	29
1. In vitro testing for cross-reactivity	30
2. In vivo testing for cross-reactivity	30
B. PRECLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY AND TOXICITY TESTING.....	31
1. General considerations	31
2. Animal toxicology studies.....	31
3. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics	32
4. Preclinical in vivo studies with immunoconjugates.....	33
IV. CLINICAL STUDIES	34
A. CLINICAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR PHASE 1 AND 2 STUDIES	34
1. General.....	34

2. Dose-setting	35
B. IMMUNOGENICITY: CLINICAL CONSIDERATIONS.....	37
1. Monitoring the development of antibodies to mAb.....	37
2. Clinical consequences of immunogenicity	38
C. PRODUCT-RELATED CONSIDERATIONS FOR PHASE 3 STUDIES	39
D. ADMINISTRATION OF RADIOLABELED ANTIBODIES	39
1. Dosimetry.....	39
2. Early clinical development of therapeutic radiolabeled mAb.....	41
3. Adverse events for patients enrolled in trials of therapeutic radiolabeled mAb.....	43
4. Clinical development of radiolabeled mAb used as imaging agents.....	43
V. APPENDIX I: NORMAL HUMAN TISSUES USED IN CROSS-REACTIVITY TESTING.....	46
VI. APPENDIX II: MOUSE ANTIBODY PRODUCTION TEST	47
VII. APPENDIX III: ORGANS TO BE CONSIDERED IN DOSIMETRY ESTIMATES	48
VIII REFERENCES	49

**Points to Consider in the Manufacture and Testing
of Monoclonal Antibody Products for Human Use
February 1997**

I. INTRODUCTION

A. BACKGROUND

Points to Consider documents provide a flexible approach in which FDA provides and updates its guidance on regulatory issues in many areas of drug development. Such documents are particularly useful in the rapidly evolving field of biotechnology-derived drugs and other biologics. The Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) set out to revise the "Points to Consider (PTC) in the Manufacture and Testing of Monoclonal Antibody Products for Human Use" with several objectives. An important goal was to facilitate initial development of monoclonal antibodies for serious or life threatening indications. Additionally, it was felt that some of the information in the 1994 document required updating and streamlining. Finally, it was necessary to review this document for consistency with current CBER policy and with International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) documents dealing with this category of products. This updated document supersedes the 1994 version, and is designed to assist sponsors and investigators regarding monoclonal antibody (mAb) product development, including information to submit when filing Investigational New Drug Applications ("INDs") and License Applications. Although this document does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public, it does represent the agency's current thinking on monoclonal antibody products for human use.

For mAb, as for other biologics, certain regulations contained in 21 CFR Parts 200-299 and 600-680 apply and should be consulted. In common with the other PTC, the mAb PTC are not intended to be all-inclusive. They represent recommendations on how to conduct the clinical development of a product up to and after licensure, not checklists of items to be provided before or after phase 1 trials are initiated. Specific products which raise issues that are not considered in these "Points" will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. The discussion on abbreviated product testing for feasibility trials in serious and immediately life-threatening conditions and on generic and modular virus clearance studies does not apply to human products made in human cell substrates. Consultation with CBER is strongly advised for sponsors considering the application of abbreviated testing policies to products that have the potential to be contaminated by human pathogens. For aspects of manufacturing and of the production facility that are not included in this discussion or in applicable regulations, sponsors should consult with the Office of Therapeutics Research and Review and the Office of Establishment Licensing and Product Surveillance respectively.

B. DEFINITIONS

For the purpose of this document, the terms "**antibody**" and "**monoclonal antibody**" (mAb) may be used interchangeably and refer to intact immunoglobulins produced by hybridomas, immunoconjugates and, as appropriate, immunoglobulin fragments and recombinant proteins derived from immunoglobulins, such as chimeric and humanized immunoglobulins, F(ab') and F(ab')₂ fragments, single-chain antibodies, recombinant immunoglobulin variable regions (Fvs) etc. Recommendations on the manufacture of recombinant products are contained in other PTC documents from CBER (1,2). Some of these recommendations pertaining to recombinant mAb produced in cell substrates other than hybridomas are reiterated in this document for convenience of consultation. This document applies to mAb used as therapeutic or *in vivo* diagnostic agents, as well as to **ancillary products**, i.e. mAb used in the manufacture of other products for *in vivo* use. The latter include mAb that are used alone or in

conjunction with devices, for example, for *ex vivo* purging of cells to remove immune or tumor cells, for *ex vivo* cell collection (e.g. hematopoietic stem cells), or for purification of other products intended for *in vivo* administration. Generally, these mAb should meet the same criteria for safety and freedom from adventitious agents as mAb intended for direct administration to patients. Likewise, reagents that are commonly used in conjunction with mAb for *ex vivo* manipulations of cellular products intended for *in vivo* administration (e.g. complement, DNAase) should meet the same safety standards as mAb intended for direct administration to patients. However, in such cases, some procedures for virus inactivation or removal may be performed on the downstream product rather than on the mAb or other reagent (see II.C.7). Complete information on products that will be used in conjunction with the mAb, such as rabbit complement or DNAase, should be submitted before clinical studies begin. This information can be submitted as a part of the original IND submission or in the form of a Master File.

As used in this document, "**cocktails**" are defined as two or more mAb administered at a fixed ratio. Relevant targets may include multiple antigens on infectious pathogens and multiple tumor-associated antigens. The rationale for combining the products should be clear and based on the clinical context or previous clinical experience with individual products. Lack of interference among the mAb in the combination should be shown and synergistic or additive effects should be characterized. Dose-ranging for each of the components is highly desirable. In some instances, dose-setting may be based on preclinical or clinical data that show the necessity or superiority of a particular dose and ratio of mAb in the combination.

As used in this document, "**panels**" are defined as sets of mAb directed against related antigens from which one or more members would be used for an individual patient based on target antigen characterization. Such panels could be submitted for approval in a single license application. Examples of panels might include anti-idiotypic mAb for lymphoma and mAb directed against different bacterial or viral serotypes. Dose-ranging for each mAb would be necessary. During the phase 3 trials to establish efficacy of the entire panel, some clinical experience with each member of the panel should be obtained.

C. FILING INFORMATION

It is not necessary to have all of the information discussed in this document available in the initial IND submission. Rather, much of the information may be developed during clinical development, with guidance from CBER or other appropriate Centers by means of frequent dialogue. At pre-IND meetings, CBER staff may provide guidance in planning clinical development and establishing the format and content of initial IND submissions. Such meetings may be particularly useful when the product is a novel molecular entity or is produced by a novel process, and when drug development plans are unusually complex.

The manufacture of mAb that are produced and controlled by similar procedures in the same facility may in some cases be documented in a single Master File. This may be particularly helpful when data from generic or modular virus clearance studies are used for multiple antibodies that differ only in the variable (v) or complementarity-determining region (CDR) and when multiple antibodies are purified by identical procedures (see Section II.C.6).

See references 3 and 4 for information on filing biologics license applications. An Establishment License Application is no longer required for mAb intended for *in vivo* use (3).

II. PRODUCT MANUFACTURE AND TESTING

A. GENERAL PRINCIPLES AND DEFINITIONS

Traditionally, most mAb are produced by hybridoma cell lines through immortalization of antibody-producing cells by chemically-induced fusion with myeloma cells. In some cases, additional fusions with other lines have created "triomas" and "quadromas". We anticipate an increase in recombinant mAb (e.g. chimeric or humanized mAb, single-chain or dimeric Fvs, mAbs derived from phage display libraries etc.) and human mAb in the future. These may be produced in animal cell lines (e.g. CHO, SP2/0) transfected with recombinant DNA constructs, in human cells (e.g. immortalized lymphoid cells), in bacteria, yeast, insect cells etc. Novel methods of production for mAb or mAb-derived recombinant proteins may include insects, plants or transgenic animals,.

The principles reviewed in Sections II.B. 1 through 4 may be applied, in general to all hybridoma and heterohybridoma generated products, regardless of the species of origin. All steps in manufacturing of mAb to be used in trials intended to support licensure and of licensed mAb should comply with current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMPs), as appropriate for the stage of product development.

While manufacturing details and safety issues may be different for different expression systems, some general principles can be applied. The establishment of a reliable and continuous source from which the antibody can be consistently produced is highly recommended (e. g. master cell banks for cell cultures, seed banks for transgenic plants, founder strains for transgenic animals). If transient expression systems are used, master vector seed stocks should be generated, and the genetic stability of the expression constructs used should be tested. Appropriate in-process testing which takes into consideration the specific safety concerns of the expression system used should be instituted. Sponsors are encouraged to consult the most recent available versions of the Points to Consider in the Characterization of Cell Lines Used to Produce Biologicals, the Points to Consider in the Production and Testing of New Drugs and Biologicals produced by Recombinant DNA Technology or the Points to Consider in the Manufacture and Testing of Therapeutic Products for Human Use Derived From Transgenic Animals (1, 2, 5), the 1996 CBER/CDER Guidance Document on the Submission of Chemistry, Manufacturing and Controls Information for a Therapeutic Recombinant DNA-derived Product or a Monoclonal Antibody Product for In Vivo Use (4), as well as relevant International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) documents (e.g. 6, 7), if applicable to their expression systems. Sponsors considering novel expression systems not specifically covered by guidance documents are encouraged to consult with CBER.

B. MANUFACTURE AND CHARACTERIZATION OF MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES

1. Cell lines

The following information should be provided in the IND or biologics license application:

- a. Source, name, and characterization of the parent cell line, including any immunoglobulin heavy or light chains that it synthesizes and/or secretes, the fusion partner in the case of hybridomas, or the host cell line in the case of transfected cells producing recombinant mAb.
- b. Species, animal strain, characterization, and tissue origin of the immune cell.
- c. Description of immortalization procedures, if any, used in generating the cell line.

d. Identification and characterization of the immunogen. A complete biochemical characterization may not be possible or necessary in all cases. However, we recommend that as much information as possible be gathered on the nature and characteristics of the material used as an immunogen. Such data can be useful in choosing appropriate potency assays, as well as in evaluating potential for cross-reactivity and possible clinical usefulness. For example, a determinant which is not expressed on the surface of target cells bind necrotic cells better than intact cells.

e. Description of the immunization scheme. In the case of human mAb, any *in vitro* or *in vivo* immunization procedures should be described, as well as any relevant aspects of the subject's medical history.

f. Description of the screening procedure used. In the case of human mAb, steps performed in order to enrich antigen-specific human B cell populations should be described.

g. Description of the cell cloning procedures. If changes in cell culture process (e.g. cells adapted from serum-containing to serum-free medium) are shown not to affect product quality, it is not necessary to reclone the cells or rebank the MCB or WCB. In this context, product quality includes not just the identity, purity, potency and pharmacological characteristics of the purified product, but also its safety profile. For example, possible changes in types and/or titers of viruses detectable in the unpurified bulk material and the ability of the purification process to remove or inactivate them should be addressed).

h. For transfected animal or plant cell substrates, as well as for microbial cell substrates (bacteria, yeast), a detailed description of the vector(s) and final construct(s) generation, including whether or not extraneous amino acid sequences are introduced into the product as a result of subcloning, and description of transfection/transformation, screening and selection procedures (see refs. 1-6). Determination of cDNA sequence(s) of the predominant transcript(s) is acceptable as an indication of clonality of transfected cell lines.

i. For cell culture systems using autonomously replicating vectors (e.g. baculovirus or other transient expression systems) a detailed description of the vector system, construct generation, selection, vector banking procedures, and infection/transfection procedures should be provided (1-6).

j. For all cell substrates, description of the seed lot system for establishing and maintaining the master cell bank (MCB) and the working cell bank (WCB).

2. Production in cell culture

The following information should be provided in the IND or biologics license application:

a. A description of the culture procedures if production is entirely *in vitro* or if cells are passaged *in vitro* prior to mouse inoculation.

b. A description of the culture media used, including certification and testing. Serum additives used in hybridoma propagation should be free of contaminants and adventitious agents.

c. The steps taken to prevent or control contamination by viruses, bacteria, fungi, mycoplasma and transmissible spongiform encephalopathies (TSE) agents. These include, among other things, a description of the equipment, transfers, room classification, employee gowning procedures etc.

- d. The acceptance criteria for cells or tissue culture supernatants intended for further manufacture.

3. Production in animals or plants

The following information should be provided in the IND or biologics license application:

- a. A description of the cell line used as the inoculum (if any) should be provided (see 2.a. above).
- b. Animal care should be in accordance with the NIH Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. For ascites production, the use of specific pathogen free (SPF) mice is recommended. To ensure manufacture of consistent, high quality ascites for production of mAb, an animal health monitoring program should be in place that encompasses quarantine procedures, sentinel animals, and an in-house health surveillance program (including screening for mycoplasma). Frequency of serological testing of sentinel mice should be established and is usually based on the incidence of virus contamination. Screening programs for known infectious agents should be updated to reflect advances in the knowledge of infectious diseases. Sponsors should be responsible for the adequacy of screening programs.
- c. All protocols for ascites production should also incorporate information on: *i*) species, sex and age of animals used; *ii*) animal supplier; *iii*) volume of pristane; *iv*) volume and concentration of cell inoculum; *v*) timing of priming, inoculation, and ascites harvesting; *vi*) frequency and procedure for ascites harvesting; *vii*) definition of a batch; *viii*) animal bedding, food and water; *ix*) number of animals housed together; *x*) environmental conditions under which each procedure takes place and *xi*) number of times cells are passaged from one animal to another, if applicable.
- d. For production in transgenic animals, the vectors, constructs and procedures used for gene transfer should be described. The genetic background and characterization of founder animals, the generation and selection of production herds and animal maintenance procedures should be described as well (see ref. 5 for details). Health monitoring programs for animal herds or colonies should be in place, including screening for zoonoses known to exist in captive animals of the relevant species in North America. Programs for screening and detection of known infectious agents should be tailored for the animal species and periodically updated to reflect advances in the knowledge of infectious diseases. Sponsors should be responsible for the adequacy of screening programs (see paragraph b above). When initially establishing transgenic animal strains, the following considerations should be kept in mind: *i*) non-transgenic animals to be used for breeding or gene transfer procedures should be obtained from closed herds or colonies that are serologically well characterized and as free as possible of pathogens of concern for the animal species or for humans; *ii*) the use of imported animals or first generation offspring from imported animals is discouraged and *iii*) animals from species in which TSE have been documented should be obtained from closed herds with documented absence of dementing illnesses and controlled food sources.
- e. For production using autonomously replicating vectors (e.g. baculovirus) in live insect larvae, larvae maintenance procedures should be described in detail, including procedures used to control and monitor bioburden.
- f. For production in plants (e.g. transgenic plants or autonomously replicating vectors using plants as bioreactors), early consultation with CBER staff is recommended.

4. Purification

Purification schemes for mAb should be described in the IND or biologics license application. We

recommend that mAb purification schemes incorporate the following characteristics:

a. Production techniques that will prevent the introduction of and eliminate contaminants, including animal proteins and materials, DNA, endotoxin, other pyrogens, culture media constituents, components that may leach from columns, and viruses.

b. Incorporation of one or more steps known to remove or inactivate retroviruses in excess of the endogenous particle load, whenever applicable (see Reference 8 and discussion of virus clearance studies in Section II.C.). As a general guidance, we recommend that each purification protocol include at least two orthogonal (i.e. based on different mechanisms) robust virus removal steps (see below). Including these steps would not obviate the need for virus clearance studies, except in the case of products intended for use in feasibility trials in serious or life-threatening conditions (see Section II.D.2.)

i. **Robust virus removal/inactivation steps** are defined as those that have been shown to work well under a variety of conditions (e.g. pH or ionic strength of column buffers) with a variety of mAb. Robust steps include low pH, heat, solvent/detergent treatments, and filtration (see Table III). Sponsors have the option of providing adequate evidence indicating that a step different from these is robust, or is reliably effective for removal/inactivation under the conditions employed. An estimate of the efficiency of robust steps in removing virus may be demonstrated by: (a) cross-referencing master files or reliable scientific literature published in peer-reviewed journals or (b) generic or modular clearance studies (see Section II.C.6. for definition).

c. Demonstration of the ability of the purification scheme to remove adventitious agents and other contaminants, by means of a clearance study. For some contaminants, e.g. DNA, pristane or protein A, such a clearance study, if appropriately carried out, may be an acceptable alternative to routine testing for the contaminant. In the case of virus clearance studies, we recommend the use of several model viruses encompassing large and small particles, DNA and RNA genomes, as well as chemically sensitive and resistant lipid enveloped and non-enveloped strains. Human blood products should be avoided in production of other biologicals. When human blood products that may be contaminated with hepatitis C virus (HCV) or other infectious agents must be used in production (e.g. as media additives), such schemes should include viruses that are acceptable models for HCV, such as bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) or Sindbis virus. Retrovirus clearance studies should be performed prior to phase 1 trials, except for products intended for use in the setting of serious or life-threatening conditions in feasibility trials (see Section II.D.). Clearance studies for other viruses and/or other contaminants should be carried out prior to production for phase 2/3 trials and may need to be repeated if the final manufacturing process has changed. ICH guidelines are currently being drafted to address in further detail the viral safety evaluation of biotechnology products derived from cell lines of human or animal origin.

d. Limits should be prospectively set on the number of times a purification component (e.g. a chromatography column) can be reused. Such limits should be based upon actual data obtained by monitoring the component's performance over time.

e. As a product is developed, retention samples from each production should be saved under appropriate conditions so that side-to-side comparisons may be made to determine product comparability (see Section II.E.).

f. A description of the purification room(s) design features, HVAC and other support systems, equipment, transfers and personnel should be provided. Emphasis should be placed on operational features that minimize the risk of contamination from the environment or cross-contamination from other products.

5. Characterization of purified unmodified mAb

Before a mAb is studied in humans, a precise and thorough characterization of antibody structural integrity, specificity, and potency should be conducted and described in the IND. The mAb should be as free as possible of non-Ig contaminants. A properly qualified in-house reference standard with known characteristics, specificity, and potency, and that is stored under appropriate conditions and periodically tested to ensure its integrity, should be used for lot-to-lot comparisons. Reference standards should be updated as a product evolves but should be finalized by the start of phase 3 trials. Appropriate standard operating procedures (SOPs) should be developed for qualification of a new reference standard.

a. Structural Integrity

A combination of SDS-PAGE, IEF, HPLC, mass spectrometry, or other appropriate physicochemical methods should be used to show that the purified antibody is not fragmented, aggregated, or otherwise modified (e.g. loss of carbohydrate side chains). Side-by-side comparisons of production lots to the in-house reference standard should be performed.

b. Specificity

Assays should provide evidence that the binding of the mAb to the target antigen is specific. Once the specificity of the antibody is characterized, it should be screened for cross-reactivity with human tissues (see Section III.A.). The following are some suggestions on the design of specificity studies:

- i.* Direct binding assays should include both positive and negative antibody and antigen controls. At least one isotype-matched, irrelevant (negative) control antibody should be tested. Negative antigen controls should include a chemically similar, antigenically unrelated compound, if available (e.g. similar chemical nature, size, charge, and charge density).
- ii.* Whenever possible, the protein, glycoprotein, glycolipid, or other molecule bearing the reactive epitope, should be biochemically defined, and the antigenic epitope, itself, determined. If the antigenic determinant is a carbohydrate, the sugar composition, linkage, and anomeric configuration should be established.
- iii.* If possible, fine specificity studies using antigenic preparations of defined structure (e.g. oligosaccharides or peptides) should be conducted to characterize antibody specificity by means of inhibition or other techniques. For complex biological mixtures, the lots of test antigen and/or inhibitors used for direct binding tests should be standardized. Inhibition of antibody binding by soluble antigen or other antibodies should be measured quantitatively.
- iv.* Once the specificity of an antibody has been determined, it is important to quantitate antibody binding activity by affinity, avidity, immunoreactivity, or combinations of these assays, as appropriate. A number of published methods are suitable for measurement of antibody binding activity (9, 10).

c. Potency Assays and Potency Specifications

Potency assays are used to characterize the product, to monitor lot-to-lot consistency, and to assure stability of the product. Potency may be measured by a binding assay, a serologic assay, activity in an

animal model, and/or a functional assay performed *in vitro* or *in vivo*. It is desirable that the assay(s) bear the closest possible relationship to the putative physiologic/pharmacologic activity of the product and be sufficiently sensitive to detect differences of potential clinical importance in the function of the product. In particular, when the performance of the antibody depends not only upon antigen binding but also on other critical functions, it is desirable that the potency assay(s) measure all such functions. Documentation of the potency assay's performance, including sensitivity, intra- and inter-assay variation and robustness, should be provided.

i. Antibody binding activity may be quantitated by ELISA, RIA, radioimmune precipitation, cytotoxicity, flow cytometry, or any other standard, appropriate method. Activity should be expressed as specific antigen-binding units per mg or μ g of antibody. Product should be compared to an in-house reference standard. Appropriate measurements of antibody affinity, if established, may be a useful adjunct to other assays. Parallel line bioassay or a similar, valid statistical procedure should be used in calculating potency.

ii. The potency of a mAb may also be tested by measurement of *in vivo* function in animal models, although such assays are often cumbersome and difficult to standardize and should not be the sole measure of potency.

iii. The permissible range of values in potency assays that reflects adequate biological activity of a product should be based on experience with a particular antibody. Ideally, potency assays should be correlated with *in vivo* activity in order to develop control tests which will ensure an effective product. This implies that multiple production lots should be used during the clinical development program and potency assay results should be correlated with clinical performance. When clinical performance is measured by *in vitro* tests used as surrogates of efficacy, such tests should be validated in a phase 3 clinical trial of appropriate design.

6. Anti-idiotypic vaccines

The following issues should be addressed for anti-idiotypic vaccines:

a. In the case of an anti-idiotypic vaccine (Ab2 vaccine), the Ab2 immunogen should be characterized as to the Ab2 type, e.g. classical type (Ab2 \cdot) or antigen mimic (Ab2 \cdot) (11).

b. Ab2 \cdot vaccines should be shown to be reactive with the appropriate population of human Ab1 (antibody to nominal antigen) if such antibodies are available.

c. The Ab2 preparation should be studied for the appropriateness of response (to target antigen) in xenogeneic as well as syngeneic animals (12).

7. Monoclonal antibodies conjugated with toxins, drugs, radionuclides or other agents (immunoconjugates)

Immunoconjugates are typically produced by chemical processes using specific reagents to link the unconjugated antibody with a non-antibody agent. Alternatively, immunoconjugates can be obtained as chimeric recombinant proteins containing non-immunoglobulin and immunoglobulin sequences in the same polypeptide chain. In addition to previously discussed recommendations for unconjugated (naked) mAb, manufacturers of immunoconjugates should address the following:

a. Construction of the Immunoconjugate.

A full description of the reagents and the process used to construct an immunoconjugate should be submitted, including:

- i.* A description of components such as toxins, drugs, enzymes, and cytokines that are linked to the mAb, including: the source, structure, production, purity (including demonstration of freedom from adventitious agents), and characterization of all components (if components are purchased, a certificate of analysis should be supplied).
- ii.* A description of chemical components, such as linkers and chelating agents, that will be used in preparing the immunoconjugate. These should include documentation of the sources of reagents and method of preparation and determinations of residual impurities from synthesis or purification. Charts of the synthetic reaction pathways and any relevant published or in-house data concerning the toxicity of chemicals used in the production of an immunoconjugate should be provided.
- iii.* The average ratio of coupled material to antibody and the number of conjugated moieties per antibody should be determined as the first step in establishing lot release criteria for the final product and developing the relationship between immunoglobulin substitution number, potency, and stability.
- iv.* Products prepared using recombinant DNA technology (e.g., derived from transfected cell lines or microbial cell substrates, chimeric, reshaped, complementarity determining region [CDR] grafted, single chain Fv antibodies, and recombinant immunoconjugates) should follow recommendations discussed in references 1-7, as appropriate. The stability of recombinant immunoconjugates should be studied carefully, as such chimeric proteins may have altered conformational stability, solubility or tendency to aggregate compared to their component polypeptides in their native structures. Loss of specific immunoreactivity due to denaturation or formation of aggregates (e.g. diabodies formed by recombinant Fvs) may lead to altered pharmacokinetics and/or binding to non-target tissues.

b. Purity of the Immunoconjugate

- i.* Special care should be taken to ensure that the antibody preparations are as free as possible of extraneous immunoglobulin and non-immunoglobulin contaminants as such contaminants could react with nuclides, toxins or drugs during the construction of the immunoconjugate.
- ii.* The amount of free antibody and free components in the final product should be determined with limits set for each. Reactive intermediates should be inactivated or removed.

c. Immunoreactivity, Potency and Stability of the Immunoconjugate

Coupling of toxin or drug to an antibody may alter the activity of either component.

- i.* Immunoreactivity before and after coupling should be assessed using appropriate methodology (9, 10).
- ii.* Activity of the non-immunoglobulin component of immunoconjugates, should be assessed by a potency assay whenever appropriate (e.g., toxins, cytokines or enzymes, but not radio-

immunoconjugates intended for use in imaging)

iii. Limits on the percent change in immunoreactivity resulting from construction of the immunoconjugate should be established as part of product specifications.

iv. The immunoconjugate should be tested for stability *in vitro* by incubation in pooled human serum at 37° C under sterile conditions. Plasma may be used instead of serum, provided that the anticoagulant used does not affect the stability of the immunoconjugate (e.g., chelating agents may react with some radioisotopes, heparin may interact with basic proteins, etc.). Aliquots should be analyzed at timed intervals for the concentration of intact immunoconjugate and degradation products. The conditions under which product stability is evaluated and the positive and negative controls used should be fully described. Stability in human serum or plasma is not relevant for topically administered immunoconjugates which are demonstrated not to be absorbed into the bloodstream. It should be established whether or not such immunoconjugates or their components are detectable in plasma after topical administration, and whether or not they elicit an immune response.

d. Specific Issues Related to mAb Coupled to Radionuclides

The preparation of the radioimmunoconjugate should be performed in a standardized, well-controlled, and validated manner. Methods should be developed to estimate the percent radioactivity in each of the three species of concern: free isotope, conjugated mAb, and labeled, non-mAb substances.

i. It is recommended that the initial IND submission for a radiolabeled mAb contain analytical results from two to three radiolabeling runs that demonstrate the preparation of an immunoreactive, sterile, and pyrogen-free product. These radiolabeling runs should be performed by the same personnel who will radiolabel the mAb for the study, using the reagents that will be used for the study.

ii. Radiopharmaceutical grade isotopes should be used when preparing immunoconjugates. The sterility and pyrogen-free nature of each isotope should be documented by submission of a certificate of analysis and letters of cross-reference for manufacturing information.

iii. The concentrations of covalently-bound and free isotope in the final product as well as residual levels of labeling reagents and their decomposition products should be determined during the trial labeling runs.

iv. Quality control tests that will be performed before and/or after each patient administration should be described.

v. When appropriate, colloid formation by the radio-immunoconjugate should be determined and limits set for it.

C. QUALITY CONTROL AND PRODUCT TESTING

1. Cell line qualification

Qualification of the cell line for production of a mAb to be used as a biologic therapeutic should include screening the master cell bank (MCB) and the working cell bank (WCB), at least on a one-time basis, for endogenous and adventitious agents utilizing the tests outlined in Table I and described in the Points to